



2025 NYSPHC SUMMIT ABSTRACT REVIEW CRITERIA

1. Purpose Score _____

- Explicitly stated, important topic, fits conference topics (3)
- Purpose stated and related to conference theme (2)
- Not explicitly stated but discernible, narrowly related to conference theme (1)
- Unclear (0)

2. Significance Score _____

- The abstract is clearly defined and relevant for the conference and submitted track (3)
- Key issue of concern may be a pilot study or work in progress (2)
- Not a key or innovative topic, weak results (1)
- Unimportant issue (0)

3. Originality Score _____

- Original work (new problem, solution or perspective) that does not duplicate previous work (3)
- Replicates important work done by others (2)
- Duplicates work done by others, without significant findings (1)
- Old idea (0)

4. Results/Discussion Score _____

- Topic/research will stimulate conversation at the conference. Likely to provide new knowledge (3)
- Not well described but discernible (2)
- Outcomes not clear (1)
- Proposed study (0)

5. Diversity Score: _____

- The abstract aims to include (share) perspectives, information, or topics that speaks to the concepts of diversity (racial, gender, etc.), non - traditional populations (Non - English speaking, disabled, etc.), or creates an opportunity for diversity questions and conversations to occur (3)
- Abstract mentions concepts of diversity, however, does not explicitly mention how the overall presentation or topic will address or speak to diversity. (2)
- Not Sure or the abstract does not address diversity. (1)